

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	1
10/080,839	02/22/2002	Paul Schimmel	TSRI 813.1	9625	•
7590 01/06/2004			EXAMINER		
OLSON & HIERL, LTD.			KERR, KATHLEEN M		
36th Floor			Г		1
20 North Wacker Drive Chicago, IL 60606			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1652	•	

DATE MAILED: 01/06/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		Applicant(s)			
Offic Assis Summers	10/080,839	SCHIMMEL ET AL.			
Offic Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Kathleen M Kerr	1652			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 M	ay 2002.				
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ This	action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 1-28 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) <u>1-28</u> are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the o	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some color None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. 					
Attachment(s)					
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 		PTO-413) Paper No(s) atent Application (PTO-152)			

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 11-03) Application/Control Number: 10/080,839 Page 2

Art Unit: 1652

DETAILED ACTION

Application Status

1. Claims 1-28, as originally filed, are pending in the instant application.

Restriction

- 2. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. § 121:
 - I. Claims 1-6, 24-25, and 27-28, drawn to polypeptide fragments of tryptophanyl tRNA synthetase useful in inhibiting angiogenesis, classified in class 514, subclass 12.
 - II. Claims 7-10, drawn to polynucleotides encoding said fragment, vectors, and host cells thereof, classified in class 435, subclass 252.2.
 - III. Claims 11-23, drawn to methods for inhibiting ocular neovascularization using said fragment, classified in class 514, subclass 12.
 - IV. Claim 26, drawn to methods of assaying angiogenesis inhibiting activity, classified in class 435, subclass 7.6.
- 3. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

The DNA of Group II is related to the polypeptides of Group I by virtue of the fact that the DNA encode the polypeptides. The DNA molecule has utility for the recombinant production of the polypeptides in a host cell. Although the DNA and the polypeptides are related, they are distinct inventions because they are wholly different in structure and function. The structure of DNA is repeating units of nucleotides while the structure of proteins is the

Application/Control Number: 10/080,839

Art Unit: 1652

repeating units of amino acids. The function of DNA is to encode proteins while the function of many proteins is to catalyze reactions. Additionally, the polypeptide product can be made by other and materially distinct processes, such as by organic synthesis; and the DNA product can be used for processes other than the production of polypeptides, such as nucleic acid hybridization assays. Therefore, Groups I and II are patentably distinct. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Groups I and III are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (M.P.E.P. § 806.05(h)). In the instant case, the polypeptide fragments can be used in vivo to produce antibodies to tryptophanyl tRNA synthetase. Thus, Groups I and III are patentably distinct. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group I is not required for Group III, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Groups I and IV are related because the polypeptides of Group I are disclosed as having angiogenesis inhibiting activity and the methods are to test such activity in any compound. However, the Groups are distinct because the product of Group I need not be used in the practice of the methods of Group IV. Moreover, the methods of Group IV are not required to either make or use the products of Group I. Thus, Groups I and IV are patentably distinct. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art

as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

The DNA of Group II is related to the methods of Groups III and IV because said DNA encodes an angiogenesis inhibiting polypeptide used in the methods of Group III and generically used in the methods of Group IV. However, the DNA itself is not required for the practice of either method, nor is the DNA made by either method. Thus, Group II is patentably distinct from Groups III and IV. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Groups III and IV are related as methods that inhibit angiogenesis activity, one is a method of treatment while the other is a generic assay method. These methods are distinct because they use distinct method steps with distinct reagents to produce distinct results. Thus, Groups III and IV are patentably distinct. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Notice of Possible Rejoinder

4. The Examiner notes that if product claims in Group I is found directed to an allowable product, then process claims in Group III, which are directed to processes of using the patentable product, previously withdrawn from consideration as a result of a restriction requirement, would now be rejoined pursuant to the procedures set forth in the Official Gazette notice dated March 26, 1996 (1184 O.G. 86; see also M.P.E.P. § 821.04, *In re* Ochiai, and *In re* Brouwer). Since process claims would be rejoined and fully examined for patentability under 37 C.F.R. § 1.104,

Application/Control Number: 10/080,839 Page 5

Art Unit: 1652

Applicants are instructed to amend said claims as deemed necessary according to rejections made against the elected claims.

Election

5. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 C.F.R. § 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 C.F.R. § 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 C.F.R. § 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 C.F.R. § 1.17(i).

Conclusion

6. Claims 1-28 have been restricted.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kathleen M Kerr whose telephone number is (703) 305-1229. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday, from 9:00am to 6pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ponnathupura Achutamurthy can be reached on (703) 308-3804. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

Kathleen M Kerr

Examiner

Art Unit 1652